**Section: Project Management (Advocate: Mike Watkins)**

**Devise project aims and objectives for a chosen scenario.**

|  |
| --- |
| <https://github.com/LBruni98/ZSL-The-Climate-Menace> |
| The link above directs the user to the ZSL project repo. The evidence lies within the README document under the ‘Specification’ heading. I believe this is suitable evidence because it has the aims of what must be accomplished. Other objectives are listed in the project’s sprint backlogs, where each user must do a portion of work to aid in developing the full app. |

**Produce a project management plan that covers aspects of cost, scope, time, quality, communication, risk and resources.**

|  |
| --- |
| <https://github.com/LBruni98/ZSL-The-Climate-Menace> |
| Again, the link above leads to the ZSL project’s README document. Toward the beginning of the document is the specification section, where the plan was created as well as the ‘Project Backlog”. This is sufficient evidence because a majority of the criteria is covered within this section and more plans are devised further on in the document, including a Gantt Chart to aid to lay out time frames for each task that each member of the team should do. |

**Produce a work breakdown structure and a Gantt Chart to provide timeframes and stages for completion.**

|  |
| --- |
| <https://github.com/LBruni98/ZSL-The-Climate-Menace/blob/master/README.md>  <https://github.com/LBruni98/ZSL-The-Climate-Menace/blob/master/Gantt-Chart-The-Climate-menece.xlsx> |
| The links above are to the README document and the Gantt Chart of the ZSL project. Both here provide what the criteria needs. It is suitable because the Project Backlogs providing the work that each member has done, with an estimation of time taken with each task and each sprint showing what needs to be done. The Gantt Chart also provides an estimation of the amount of time that could be taken but also the overall structure of the project, what task should come next after a specific task. |

**Carry out small-scale research by applying qualitative and quantitative research methods appropriate for meeting project aims and objectives.**

|  |
| --- |
| Pending |
|  |

**Analyse research and data using appropriate tools and techniques.**

|  |
| --- |
| Pending |
|  |

**Communicate appropriate recommendations as a result of research and data analysis to draw valid and meaningful conclusions.**

|  |
| --- |
| Pending |
|  |

**Reflect on the value of undertaking the research to meet stated objectives and own learning and performance.**

|  |
| --- |
| Pending |
|  |

**Produce a comprehensive project management plan, milestone schedule and project schedule for monitoring and completing the aims and objectives of the project.**

|  |
| --- |
| <https://github.com/LBruni98/ZSL-The-Climate-Menace> |
| Above is the link to the ZSL project repo. Here, the plan is listed in the ZSL backlog. This proves as sufficient evidence because it lists each individual milestone of each project; from the ideas down to the initial presentation. It also contains the tasks that each group member has been assigned, monitoring their progress. The Gantt Chart also provides a plan on the completion of each task. |

**Evaluate the accuracy and reliability of different research methods applied.**

|  |
| --- |
| Pending |
|  |

**Evaluate the selection of appropriate tools and techniques for accuracy and authenticity to support and justify recommendations.**

|  |
| --- |
| <https://github.com/LBruni98/High-Low-Card-Game> |
| Above is the link to a micro project repo. The criterion is listed under the heading “IDE”, where an IDE is evaluated after the project’s completion. This serves as sufficient evidence because it describes the IDE used within the project, what features it has and is compared to an IDE used in an earlier project, noting the benefits over it and why I consider it more in the future. |

**Evaluate the value of the project management process and use of quality research to meet stated objectives and support own learning and performance.**

|  |
| --- |
| <https://github.com/LBruni98/ZSL-The-Climate-Menace> |
| The link above leads to the ZSL project’s README document. The criterion in question is listed is within the project evaluation. I used this as evidence because of the project management used where I explained the benefits and how it helped the project. |

**Critically evaluate the project management process and appropriate research methodologies applied.**

|  |
| --- |
| <https://github.com/LBruni98/ZSL-The-Climate-Menace> |
| Again, the link above leads to the ZSL README document. The evaluation is listed in the towards the bottom, as part of the evaluation stage. This serves as evidence because it acts much like the last criterion, but mainly serves towards how it affected THIS project rather than project management in general. |

**Critically evaluate and reflect on the project outcomes, the decision making process and changes or developments of the initial project management plan to support justification of recommendations and learning during the project.**

|  |
| --- |
| <https://github.com/LBruni98/ZSL-The-Climate-Menace> |
| Again, the link above leads to the ZSL README document. The evaluation is listed in “Project Evaluation”, alongside “Role and Contribution” and “Team Dynamics”, though the criteria was also covered here. These sections provide details on the overall project, the changes to the project, and the development of the project. There also is a section that evaluates and reflects on my contribution and role in the overall project, what I was responsible for, how it helped and what improvements could’ve been made to support the team better. |